



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,195	10/26/2001	Majid Syed	708034-605-005	9765
7590	02/10/2009		EXAMINER	
Blaney Harper Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 51 Louisiana Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001			NGUYEN, THUONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	
			02/10/2009	PAPER
			DELIVERY MODE	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/044,195	SYED, MAJID	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thuong (Tina) T. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2455	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thuong (Tina) T. Nguyen. (3) Doughlas H. Pearson.  
 (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Berstis (Patent No. 6,944,430 B2), Voit (Patent No. 2002/0044567 A1), Linden (Patent No. 2003/0009765 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim 1 Berstis, Voit and Linden. Discussed reasons for combining Berstis and Linden, and the possibility of amending claims to recite that the arbitrator determines relative levels at a broadcast side. Furhter search and/or consideration will be made after receiving the Remark/Argument.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/saleh najjar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2455
--	--